

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,434	07/29/2003	Robert A. DiFazio	I-2-0360.1US	6031
24374 7590 02/08/2007 VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.			EXAMINER	
DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			CAI, WAYNE HUU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	PHTMS	02/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/629,434	DIFAZIO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Wayne Cai	2617	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
WHI(- Exte after - If N(- Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	<i>ıly 2003</i> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers	·		
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	accepted or b) objection of the drawing objection is required if the drawing on is required if the drawing or the drawing of t	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) 🗌 a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been t (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachmen	ıt(s)	•		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on June 21, 2004 and August 24, 2005 are being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on July 29, 2003. These drawings are acceptable.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1, drawn to a method for performing transport format combination indicator in a wireless communications system, classified in class 370, subclass 337.
- II. Claims 2-7, drawn to a method for full discontinuous (DTX) control in a receiver in a wireless communication system, classified in class 370, subclass 318.
- III. Claim 8, drawn to a method for generating a transmit power control (TPC) bit in a wireless communication system, classified in class 370, subclass 318.

Art Unit: 2617

- IV. Claim 9, drawn to a method for improving a decoded transport format combination indicator value in a wireless communication system, classified in class 370, subclass 335.
- V. Claim 10-14, drawn to a method for obtaining a transport format combination indicator value, classified in class 370, subclass 337.

Inventions I, II, IV, and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as performing transport format combination indicator in a wireless communication system. Subcombination II has separate utility such as controlling the full discontinuous in a receiver. Subcombination IV has separate utility such as improving a decoded transport format combination indicator value. And, subcombination V has separate utility such as obtaining a transport format combination indicator value. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to

Art Unit: 2617

provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Invention III and the group of inventions I, II, IV, and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention III is related to generating a transmit power control bit in a wireless communication system. Whereas, inventions I, II, IV, and V are related to the transport format combination indicator value.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Michael Synder (Reg. No. 58,347) on January 31, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 2617

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Cai whose telephone number is (571) 272-7798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wayne Cai

Art Unit 2617

DUC M. NGÜYEN SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600